A

SERMON

Lately Preached

ON

I CORINTH. 3. 15.

PY

A Reverend Divine of the Church of England.
D' Tillotson, chaplain of Lincoln Jane



Printed in the Year, 1673.

14 ha 44 were

1 COR. 3. 15:

But he himself shall be Saved, yet so as by Fire.

THE Context runs thus. Atcording to the grace of God which
is given unto me, as a wife Mafler-builder, I have laid the Foundation,
and another Buildeth thereon: But let every man take heed how he Buildeth thereupon. For other Foundation can no man lay,
than that which is laid, Jefus Christ. Now
if any man Build upon this Foundation,
Gold, Silver, Precious Stones, Wood, Hay,
Stubble, Every man's work shall be made
manifest, for the day shall declare it; Bit
it shall be revealed by Fire, and the Fire
shall try every mans work of what sortit is.

B

If any man's work abide which be bath Built thereupon, he shall receive a Reward. If any man's work shall be burnt, he shall suffer loss: But HE HIMSELF SHAL BE SAVED, YEI SO AS BY FIRE.

In these Words the Apostle speaks of a fort of Persons, who held indeed the Foundation of Christianity, but Built upon it such Dostrines or Practices as would not bear the trial; which he expresset to us by Wood, Hay, and Stubble, which are not proof against the Fire: Such a person, the Apostle tells us, hath brought himself into a very dangerous state, though he would not absolutely deny the possibility of his Salvation; He bimself shall be saved, yet so as by Fire.

That by Fire here, is not meant the Fire of Purgatory, as some pretend (who would be glad of any shadow of a Text of Scripture to countenance their own dreams) I shall neither trouble you nor

my felf to manifest.

It is very well known, that this is a Proverbial phrase, used not only in Scripture, but in prophane Authors, to signific a narrow escape out of a great danager. He shall be saved, yet so as by Fire, did at es, out of the Fire. Just as di von-

a

n

6

6

to

2

is

m

of

ta

bi

bi

To is used 1 Pet. 3. 20. where the Apostle fpeaking of the eight persons of Noab's family, who escap'd the Flood, Steorie 94. σαν δί ύδατω, they escaped out of the Water. So here this phrase is to be rendred in the Text, He bimfelf shall escape, yet so as out of the Fire. The like expreffion you have, Amos 4. II. I have plucke. them as a Firebrand, out of the Fire. And Jude 23. Others Save with fear, plucking them out of the Fire. All which expressions signifie the greatness of the danger, and the difficulty of escaping it. And fo the Roman Orator * (who, it is likely * Tulin did not think of Purgatory,) useth this phrase , Que ex judicio, velut ex intendio, nudus effugit; From which Judgement or Sentence he escaped naked, as it were out of a burning. And one of the Greek Orators * tells us, That, to fave a * Arifides. man out of the Fire, was a common proverbial speech. And thus St. Chrysostom interprets this very place, He shall escape as one that escapes out of the Fire, that is, (faith he) as one who when his boufe at midnight is fet on Fire, wakes and leaps out of bis Bed, and runs nake a out of the doors, taking nothing that is within along with

B 2

bim, but imploying his whole care to Save

bis body from the flames.

From

(4)

From the Words thus explained, the Observation that naturally ariseth is this. That men may bold all the Fundamentals of Christian Religion, andyet may Superadd other things whereby they may greatly endanger their Salvation. What those things were, which some among the Corintbians Built upon the Foundation of Christianity, whereby they endanger'd their Salvation, we may probably conjecture, by what the Apostle reproves in this Epistle as the tolerating of Incestious Marriages, communicating in Idol Feafts, &c. And especially by the Doctrine of the false Apostles, who at that time did so much disturb the peace of most Christian Churches, and who are so often and fo feverely reflected upon in this Epi-And what their Doctrine was, we have an account Ads 15. viz. that they impose upon the Gentile Christians, Cirsumcifion, and the Observation of the Jewish Law, teaching that unless they were Circumcifed and kept the Law of Moses, they sould not be faved. So that they did not only Build these Doctrines upon Christianity, but they made them equal with the Foundation, faying, That unless men be lieved and practifed fuch things, they could not be Sarid.

t

t (

d

n

8

9

tl

fi

a

R

Ci

g

I shall at this time take occasion from this passage of the Apostles to consider

these two things.

3

ť

1

1

5

ł

ì

I. Some Doarines and Practices. which have been built upon the Founday tion of Christianity to the great hazard and danger of mens Salvation; And to be plain, I mean particularly, by the Church of Rome.

2. Whether our granting a possibility of Salvation (though with great hazard) to those in the communion of the Roman Church, and their denying it to us, be a reasonable argument and encouragement to any man to betake himself to that Church :

And there is the more reason to consider these things, when so many seducing Spirits are so active and busie to pervert men from the Truth; and when we fee every day so many Persons and their Keligion fo easily parted. For this reason these two Considerations shall be the subject of the following Difcourse.

I. First, We will consider some Do-Arrenes and Practices which the Church of Rome hath built upon the Foundation of Christianity, to the great hazard and danger of mens Salvation. It is not denied by the most judicious Protestant, but w that the Church of Rome do hold all the at Articles of the Christian Faith which are fre necessary to Salvation. But that which the we charge upon them, as a just ground w of our Separation from them, is, the im poling of new Doctrines and Practices upon co Christians, as necessary to Salvation, which were never taught by our Saviour, or his Apostles; and which are either directly be contrary to the Doctrine of Christianity; it or too apparently destructive of a good at Life. And I begin,

1. With their Dollrines. And, be-th cause I have no mind to aggravate lef-th fer matters, I will fingle out four or five m points of Doctrine, which they have ad-ded to the Christian Religion, and which di were neither taught by our Saviour and his Apostles, nor own'd in the first ages \$ of Christianity. And the

First which I shall mention, and the which being once admitted, makes way to for as many Errors as they please to bring o in, is this, their Doctrin of Infallibility. And t this they are very stiff and peremptory in though they are not agreed among them- t felves, where this Infallibility is feated ; c whether!

ha no

t

ir

(7)

whether in the Pope alone, or a Council alone, of in both together, or in the diffufive body of Christians; But they are sure
they have it, though they know not
d where it is.

And is this no prejucice against it:
can any man think, that this priviledge
was at first conferred upon the Church of
Rome, and that Christians in all Ages did
believe it, and had constant recourse to
it, for the determining of differences,
and yet that that very Church, which
hath enjoyed and used it so long, should
now be at a loss where to find it? Nothing could fall out more unluckily, than
that there should be such differences among them about that which they pretend to be the only Means of ending all
the differences.

There is not the least intimation in Scripture of this priviledge conferr d upon the Roman Church; nor do the Apostles, in all their Epistles, ever so much as give the least direction to Christians to appeal to the Bishop of Rame for a determination of many differences, which, even in those times, happen'd among them. And it is strange they should be so silent in this matter, when there were so many occasions to speak of it; if our Saviour had

(8)

plainly appointed such an infallible Judg of Controversies, for this very end, to decide the differences that should happen among Christians. It is strange that the Ancient Fathers, in their disputes with Hereticks, should never appeal to this Judg; Nay it is strange they should not constantly do it, in all cases, it being so short and expedite a way for the ending of Controversies. And this very consideration, to a wise man, is instead of a thousands arguments to satisfie him that in those times no such thing was believed in the whole world.

Now this Dollrine of Infallibility, if it be not true, is of so much the more pernicious consequence to Christianity, because the conceit of it does confirm them that think they have it, in all their other errors; and gives them a pretence of assuming an Authority to themselves to impose their own fancies and mistakes upon the whole Christian world.

2. Their Dollrine about Repentance. Which confilts in confessing their Sins to the Priest; which if it be but accompanied with any degree of Contritions, does, upon Absolution received from the Priest, put them into a state of Salvation, though

(9) though they have lived the most lewd and debauched lives that can be imagin'd, than which nothing can be more destructive of a good life. For if this be true, all the hazard that the most wickedmanruns of his Salvation is only the danger of so sudden a death, as gives him no space for Confession and Absolution. A case that happens so rarely, that any man that is strongly addicted to his Lusts, will be content to venture his Salvation upon this hazard; and all the Arguments to a good Life will be very infignificant, to a man that hath a mind to be wicked, when Remission of Sins may be had upon fuch cheap terms,

3. The Dollrine of Purgatery. By which they mean a state of Temporary Punishments after this Life, from which men may be released and Translated into Heaven, by the Prayers of the Living and the Sacrifice of the Mass. That this Doctrine was not known in the Primitive Church, nor can be proved from Scripture, we have the free acknowledgment of as Learned and Eminent men as any of that Church; which is to acknowledge that it is a Superstructure upon the Christian Religion. And though in one scripture.

n

e

S

to go

-

it

-

n

r

S

(10)

fense, it be indeed a building of Gold and Silver upon the Foundation of Christianity, considering the vast Revenues which this Doctrine (and that of Indulgences, which depends upon it) brings into that Church; yet I doubt not, but in the Apostles sense, it will be found to be Hay and Stubble. But how groundless soever it be, it is too gainful a Doctrine to be easily parted withal.

4. The Doctrine of Transubflantiation. A hard word, but I would to God that were the worst of it; the thing is much more difficult. I have taken some pains to consider other Religions that have been in the World, and I must freely declare, that I never yet, in any of them, met with any Article or Propolition, imposed upon the belief of men, half so unreasonable and hard to be believed as And yet this, in the Romis Church, is esteemed one of the most principal Articles of the Christian Faith though there is no more certain Foundation for it in Scripture, then for our Saviours being Substantially changed into all those things which are said of him, as that he is a Rock, a Vine, a Door, and a hundred other things.

But

(11)

But this is not all. This Doctrine hath not only no certain Foundation in Scripture, but I have a far heavier charge against it, namely, that it undermines the very Foundation of Christianity itfelf. And furely nothing ought to be admitted to be a part of the Christian Do-Arines which destroys the reason of oru belief of the whole. And that this Doctrine does so, will appear evidently, if we consider what was the main Argument which the Apostles used to convince the World of the truth of Christianity. that was this , That our Bleffed Saviour, the Author of this Doctrine, wrought fuch and fuch miracles; and particularly that he role again from the dead; And this they proved, because they were eve-wirnesses of his Miracles, and had feen him and conversed with him after he was rifen from the dead. But what if their fenses did deceive them in this matter ! then it cannot be denied, but that the main proof of Christianity falls to the ground.

Well! We will now suppose (as the Church of Rome does) Transubstantiation to have been one principal part of the Christian Doctrine, which the Apostles preached. But if this Doctrine be true, then

(12)

then all mens Senses are deceived in a plain sensible matter, wherein 'tis as hard for them to be deceived, as in any thing in the world; For two things can hardly be imagin'd more different, than a little bit of Waser, and the whole Body of a Man.

So that the Apostles perswading men to believe this Doctrine, perswaded them not to trust their Senses, and yet the Argument which they used to perfwade them to this was built upon the direct contrary principle, that mens Senses are not to be trusted; For if they be not, then notwithstanding all the evidence the Apostles offer'd for the Resurrection of our Saviour, he might not be rifen; and fo the Faith of Christians was vain. So they that represent the Apostles as abfurdly as is possible, viz. going about to perswade men out of their Senses, by vertue of an Argument, the whole strength whereof depends upon the certainty of Sense.

And now the matter is brought to a fair iffue. If the testimony of Sense be to be relied upon, then Transubstantiation is false: If it be not, then no man is sure that Christianity is true. For the utmost assurance that the Apostles had of the truth of

(13)

of Christianity, was the testimony of their own Senses concerning our Saviours Miracles; and this Testimony every man hath against Transubstantiation. From whence it plainly follows, that no man (no not the Apostles themselves) had more reason to believe Christianity to be true, than every man hath to believe Transubstantiation to be false. And we who did not see our Saviour's Miracles (as the Apostles did) and have only a credible Relation of them, but do see the Sacrament, have less evidence of the truth of Christianity than of the falshood of Transubstantiation.

But cannot God impose upon the Senses of men, and represent things to them otherwise than they are? Yes, undoubtedly. And if he hath revealed that he doth this, are we not to believe him? Most certainly. But then we ought to be assured that he hath made such a Revelation; which Assurance no man can have, the certainty of Sense being taken

away.

I shall press the business a little farther. Supposing the Scripture to be a Divine Revelation, and that these words, [This is my Body] if they be in Scripture, must necessarily be taken in the strict and literal

(15)

literal sense, I ask now, What greater evidence any man has, that thefe words [This is my Body] are in the Bible, than every man has that the Bread is not chang'd in the Sacrament? Nay no man has fo much; for we have only the evidence of one Sense that these words are in the Bible, but that the Bread is not chang'd we have the concurring Testimony of several of our senses. In a word, if this be once admitted, that the Senses of all men are deceiv'd in one of the most plain sensible matters that can be, there is no certain means left either to convey or prove a Divine Revelation to Men; nor is there any way to confute the groffest imposture in the World: For if the clear evidence of all mens Senses be not fufficient for this purpose, let any man if he can find a better and more convincing Argument.

5. I will give but one Instance more of their Doctrins. And that shall be, their Doctrine of deposing Kings in case of Heresie, and Absolving their Subjects from their Allegience to them. And this is not a meer Speculative Doctrine, but hath been put in Practice many a time by the Bishops of Rome, as every

(14)

one knows that is vers'd in Hiftory. For the Troubles and Confusions which were occasion'd by this very thing make a good part of the History of several Ages.

I hope no body expects that I should take the pains to shew, that this was not the Doctrine of our Saviour and his Apostles, nor of the Primitive Christians. The Papils are many of them fo far from pretending to this, that in some times and places, when it is not feafonable and for their purpose, we have much a-doe to perswade them that ever it was their Doctrine. But if Transubstantiation be their Doctrine, this is; for they came both out of the same Forge, I mean the Council of Lateran under Pope Innocent the Third. And if (as they tell us) Transubstantiation was then established, so was this. And indeed one would think they were Twins, and brought forth at the fame time, they are fo like one another, that is, both fo monstrously unreasonable.

place, to confider some Practices of the Church of Rome, which I am afraid, will prove as bad as her Doctrines. I shall instance in these Five.

1. Their

(16)

1. Their celebrating of their Divine Service in an Unknown Tongue. And that not only contrary to the practice of the Primitive Church, and to the great end and defign of Religious Worship, which is the Edification of those who are concerned in it, (and it is hard to imagine how men can be Edified by what they do not understand) but likewise in direct contradiction to St. Paul, who hath no less than a whole Chapter, wherein he confutes this Practice as fully, and condemns it as plainly, as any thing is condemned in the whole Bible. that can have the face to maintain that this Practice was not condemned by St. Paul, or that it was allowed and used in the first Ages of Christianity, need not be assamed to set up for the defence of any Paradox in the world.

And that notwithstanding that even, by their own acknowledgement, our Saviour instituted it in Both kinds, and the Primitive Church administred it in both kinds. This I must acknowledge is no addition to Christianity, but a Sacrilegious taking away of an Essential part of the Sacrament. For the Cup is as Essential a part

(17)

part of the Institution as the Bread; and they might as well, and by the same Authority, take away the one as the other, and both as well as either.

- Their Worshipping of Images. Which practice (notwithstanding all their distinctions about it, which are no other but what the Heathens used in the fame case) flyes as full in the face of the Second Commandment, as a deliberate and malicious killing of a man is against the Sixth. But if the Case be so plain, a man would think that at least the Teachers and Guides of that Church should be fensible of it. Why, they are so, and afraid the people should be so too; And therefore in their ordinary Catechifms and Manuals of Devotion, they leave out the Second Commandment, and divide the Tenth into two to make up the number, lest if the common people should know it, their Consciences should start at the doing of a thing so directly contrary to the plain Command of God.
- 4. The worshipping of the Bread and Wine in the Eucharist, out of a false and groundless perswasion, that they are substantially changed, into the Body and C Bloud

(18)

Bloud of Christ. Which if it be not true, (and it hath good fortune if it be, for certainly it is one of the most incredible things in the whole world) then, by the confession of several of their own learned Writters, they are guilty of gross Idulatry.

5. The Worship and invocation of Saints and Angels; and particularly of the Virgin Mary, which hath now for fome Ages been a principal part of their Religion. Now a man may justly wonder that so considerable a part of Religion, as they make this to be, should have no manner of foundation in the Scripture. Does our Saviour any where speak one word concerning the worshipping of Her ? Nay, does he not take all occasions to restrain all extravagant apprehensions and imaginations concerning the Honour due to Her, as foreseeing the degeneracy. of the Church in this thing? When he was told that his Mother and Brethren were without: Who (fays he) are my Mother and my Brethren? He that doth the will of my Father, the same is my Mother, and Sifter, and Brother. And when the Woman brake forth into that rapture concerning the bleffed Mother of our Lord

(19)

Lord ; Bleffed is the Womb that bear thee, and the Paps that gavethee fuck! Our Saviour diverts it to another thing; Yearather, bleffed are they that bear the Word of God and keep it. Does either our Saviour or his Apostles in all their Precepts and directions concerning Prayer, and the manner of it, and by whom we are to address our felves to God, give the least intimation of Prayer to the Virgin Mary, or making use of her Mediation: And can any man believe, that if this had been the Practice of the Church from the beginning, our Saviour and his Apostles would have been fo filent about fo confiderable a part of Religion ? Infomucli, that in all the Epistles of the Apostles I do not remember that her name is fo much as once mentioned? And yet the worship of her in the Church of Rome is a main part of their Publick Worthip; vez and of their Private Devotion too. in which it is usual with them to fay ten Ave Maries for one Pater Nofter, that is, for one Prayer they make to Almighty God they make ten addresses to the blessed A Virgin, for that is the proportion observed in their Rofaries. He that confiders this and had never feen the Bible, would have been apt to think, that there had been been more faid concerning Her in Scripture, than either concerning God; or our Bleffed Saviour; and that the New-Testament were full from one end to the other of Precepts and Exhortations to the worshipping of her; and yet when all is done, I challenge any man to shew me, to much as one fentence in the whole Bible that founds that way. And there is as little in the Christian Writers of the first Three hundred years. The truth is, this pradice began to creep in among some Superstitious people about the middle of the fourth Century , And I remember particularly, that Epiphanius who lived about that time, calls it, the Herelie of Women.

And thus I have given you fome Inflances of several Dodrines and Practices, which the Church of Rome have built upon the Foundation of Christianity. Much more might have been said of them; but from what hath been said, any man may easily discern how dangerous they are to

the Salvation of men.

I proceed now in the Second place.

II. To confider whether our granting a possibility of Salvation, though with great great hazard, to those in the communion of the Roman Church, and their denying it to us, be a sufficient argument and encouragement to any man to quit our Church and go to theirs. And there is the more need to consider this, because this is the great popular Argument, wherewith the Emissaries and Agents of that Church are wont to assault our people. Your Church (sayes they) grants that a Papist may be Sayed: Ours deny that a Protestant can be Saved: therefore it is safest to be of our Church, in which Salvation, by the acknowledgement of both sides, is possible.

For answer to this, I shall endeavour to shew that this is so far from being a good Argument, that it is so intolerable weak and fophistical, that any considerate min ought to be assumed to be catch'd by it. For either it is good of it felf, and sufficient to perswade a man to relinquish our Church, and to pass over to theirs, without entering into the Merits of the Cause on either side, and without comparing the Doctrines and Practices of both the Churches together, or it is not. If it be not sufficient of it self to perswade a man to leave our Church, without Comparing the Doctrines on both sides, then

it.

(22)

it is to no purpose, and there is nothing got by it. For if upon examination and comparing of Doctrines, the one appear to be true, and the other false, this alone, is sufficient inducement to any man to cleave to that Church where the true Doctrine is found, and then there is no

need of this Argument.

If it be faid, that this Argument is good in it self, without the examination of the Doctrines of both Churches, this feems a very strange thing for any man to affirm, that it is reason enough to a man to be of any Church, what ever her Doctrines and Practices be, if she do but damn those that differ from her, and if the Church that differs from her do but allow a possibility of Salvation in her Communion.

But they who use this Argument, pretend that it is Sufficient of it self; and therefore I shall apply my self to shew, as briefly and plainly as I can, the miserable weakness & insufficiency of it, to satisfie any mans Conscience or prudence to change his Religion. And to this end I shall,

1. Shew the weakness of the Principle upon which this Argument relies.

2. Give

(23)

2. Give some parallel Instances by which it will clearly appear that it concludes false.

3. I shall take notice of some gross ab-

4. Shew how unfit it is to work upon those to whom it is propounded. And

5. How improper it is to be urged by

those that make use of it.

I. I shall shew the weakness of the Principle upon which this Argument re-And that is this: That whatever different Parties in Religion agree in is fafeft to be chasen. The true consequence of which Principle, if it be driven to the head, is to perswade men to forsake Christianity, and to make them take up in the Principles of Natural Religion, for in these all Religions do agree. For if this Principle be true, and fignificany thing, it is dangerous to embrace any thing wherein the several parties in Religion differ; because that only is Safe and Prudent to be chosen wherein all So that this Argument, if the Foundation of it be good, will perswade further than those who make use of it defire it should do : for it will not only make men forfake the Protestant Religion,

4

(24)

but Popery too, and, which is much more confiderable, Christianity it self.

2. I will give some parallel Instances, by which it will clearly be feen that this Argument concludes false. The Donatiffs denied the Baptism of the Catholicks to be good, but the Catholicks acknowledged the Baptism of the Donatifis to be valid. So that both fides were agreed that the Baptism of the Donatifts was good; therefore the fafest way for St. Auftin and other Catholicks (according to this Argument) was to be Baptized again by the Donatifts, because by the acknowledgment of both fides Baptism among them was valid.

But to come nearer to the Church of Rome. Several in that Church hold the personal Infallibility of the Pope, and the lawfulness of deposing and killing Kings for Herefie, to be de fide, that is, necessary Articles of Faith, and confequently, that whoever does not believe them, cannot be Saved. But a great many Papifts, though they believe thele things to be no matters of Faith, yet they think those that hold them may be Saved, and they are generally very favourable towards them. But now according to this Argument

(25)

ment, they ought all to be of their opinion in these points, because both sides are agreed, that they that bold them may be Saved; but one side positively sayes, that men cannot be faved if they do not hold them.

But my Text furnisheth me with as good an Instance to this purpose as can be defired. St. Paul here in the Text, acknowledgeth the possibility of the Salvation of those, who built Hay and Stubble upon the Foundation of Christianity; that they might be Saved, though with great difficulty, and as it were, out of the Fire. But now among those Builders with Hay and Stubble, there were those who denied the possibility of St. Paul's Salvation. and of those who were of his mind. We are told of some who Built the Jewish Ceremonies and Observances upon the Foundation of Christianity, and said, that unless men were Circumcifed and kept the Law of Mofes, they could not be Saved. So that by this Argument St. Paul and his followers ought to have gone over to those Judaizing Christians, because it was acknowledged on both fides, that they might be Saved: But these Judaizing Christians were as uncharitable to St. Paul and other Christians,

as the Church of Rome is now to us; for they faid positively that they could not be Saved. But can any manthink, that St. Paul would have been moved by this Argument, to leave a safe and certain way of Salvation, for that which was only possible, and that with great difficulty and hazard: The Argument, you see is the very same, and yet it concludes the wrong way; which plainly shews, that it is a contingent Argument, and concludes uncertainly and by chance, and therefore no man ought to be moved by it.

3. I shall take notice of some groß Absurdities that follow from it. I shall

mention but these two.

I. According to this Principle, it is always safest to be on the uncharitable side. And yet uncharitableness is as bad an evidence, either of a true Christian or a true Church, as a man would wish. Charity is one of the most Essential marks of Christianity, and what the Apostle saith of particular Christians, is as true of whole Churches; that though they have all Faith, yet if they have not Charity, they are nothing.

I grant that no Chariry teacheth men to see others Damned, and not to tell

them

(27)

them the danger of their condition. But it is to be consider'd, that the damning of men is a very hard thing, and therefore when ever we do it, the case must be wonderfully plain. And is it so in this case : They of the Church of Rome cannot deny, but that we embrace all the Doctrines of our Saviour and his Apoftles; whatever is contain'd in the Apoftles Creed, and determined by the Four first General Councils; And yet they will not allow this, and a good Life, to put us within a possibility of Salvation; because we will not submit to all the Innovalions they would impose upon us. And yet I think there is scarce any Da-Arine or Practice, in difference between them and us, which fome or other of their most learned Writers have not acknowledged, either not to be fufficiently contained in Scripture, or not to have been held and practifed by the Primitive Church; fo that nothing can excuse their uncharitableness towards us. they pay dear for the little advantage they get by this Argument; for they do what in them lies, to make themselves no Christians, that they may prove themselves the truer Church; A medium which we do not defire to make use of.

2. If

(28)

2. If this Argument were good, then by this trick a man may bring over all the World to agree with him in an Error, which another does not account Damnable, what ever it be; provided he do but damn all those that do not hold it, and there wants nothing but confidence and uncharitableness to do this. But is there any fense, that another mans boldness and want of Charity should be an Argument to move me to be of his opinion? I cannot illustrate this better, than by the difference between a skilful Phyfician and a Mountebank. A learned and skilful Physician is modest, and speaks justly of things: He sayes that such a method of Cure which he hath directed is fafe, and withal, that that which the Mountebank prescribes, may possibly do the work; but there is great hazard and danger in it. But the Mountebank who never talks of any thing less than Infallible Cures (and always the more Mountebank, the stronger pretence to Infallibility) he he is positive that that Method which the Physician prescribes will destroy the Patient, but his Receipt is Infallible, and never fails. Is there any reason in this case, that this man should carry it, meerly by his confidence ? And yet if this Argument

(29)

gument be good, the safest way is to reject the Physicians advice, and to stick to the Mountebanks; For both sides are agreed, that there is a possibility of Cure in the Mountebanks method, but not in the Physicians; and so the whole force of the Argument lies in the considence of an ignorant man.

This Argument is very unfit to work upon those to whom it is propounded: For either they believe we fay true in this, or not. If they think we do not, they have no reason to be moved by what we fay. If they think we do, why do they not take in all that we say in this matter ? Namely, that though it be poffible for some in the Communion of the Roman Church to be Saved yet it is very hazardous; and that they are in a safe condition already in our Church; and why then should a bare possibility, accompani'd with infinite and apparent hazard, be an Argument to any man to run into that danger?

Lastly, This Argument is very improper to be urged by those who make use of it. Part of the strength of it lies in this, that we Protestants acknowledge that it is possible a Papist may be Saved.

But

But why should they lay any stress upon this? what matter is it, what we Hereticks fay, who are fo damnably mistaken in all other things? Methinks, if there were no other reason, yet because we fay it, it should seem to them to be unlikely to be true. But I perceive, when it serves for their purpose, we have fome little Credit and Authority among them.

By this time I hope every one is in fome measure satisfied of the weakness of this Argument, which is fo transparent, that no wife man can honestly use it, and he must have a very odd Understanding that can be cheated by it. The truth is, it is a cafual and contingent Argument, and fometimes it concludes right, and oftener wrong: and therefore no prudent man can be moved by it, except only in one case; when all things are so equal on both fides, that there is nothing elfe in the whole World to determine him; which furely can never happen in marters of Religion necessarily to be believed. No man is fo weak, as not to confider in the change of his Religion, the merits of the Cause it self; to examine the Doctrines and Practices of the Churches

(31)

on both fides; to take notice of the confidence and Charity of both Parties, together with all other things which ought to move a confcientious and a prudent man. And if upon enquiry there appear to be a clear advantage on either fide, then this Argument is needless, and comes too late, because the work is already done without it.

Befides, that the great hazard of Salvation in the Roman Church, (which we declare upon account of the Dottrines and Practices which I have mentioned) ought to deter any man much more from that Religion, than the acknowledged possibility of Salvation in it, ought to encourage any man to the embracing of it: Never did any Christian Church build so much Hay and Stubble upon the Foundation of Christianity; and therefore those that are Saved in it , muft be Saved , as it were, out of the Fire. And though Purgatory be not meant in the Text, yet it is a Doctrine very well fuited to their manner of Building; for there is need of an Ignis purgatorius, of a Fire to try their work, what it is, and to burn up their Hay and Stubble. And I have so much Charity (and I defire alwaies to have it) as to hope, that a great many among them

(32)

them who live pioufly, and have been almost inevitably detain'd in that Church by the prejudice of Education and an invincible ignorance, will upon a general Repentance find mercy with God; and though their work fuffer loss and be Burnt, yet they themselves may escape as out of the Fire. But as for those who have had the opportunities of coming to the knowledge of the Truth, if they continue in the Errors of that Church, or Apostatize from the Truth, I think their condition so far from being safe, that there must be extraordinary favourable circumstances in their case to give a man hopes of their Salvation.

I have now done with Two things I propounded to speak to. And I am forry that the necessary defence of our Religion, against the restless importunities and attempts of its Adversaries upon all sorts of persons, hath engaged me to spend so much time in matters of Dispute, which I had much rather have employed in another way. Many of you can be my witnesses, that I have constantly made it my business, in this Great Presence and Assembly, to plead against the Impieties and Wickedness of men; and have endeavour'd, by the best Arguments I could

think

think of, to gain men over to a firm behef and serious practice of the main things
of Religion. And, I do assure you, I
had much rather perswade any one to be
a good man, than to be of any party or
Denomination of Christians whatsoever:
For I doubt not but the belief of the Ancient Creed, without the addition of any
tother Articles, together with a good Life;
will certainly Save a man; and without
this no man can have reasonable hopes
of Salvation, no not in an Infallible
Church, if there were any such to be
found in the World.

I have been, according to my opportunities, not a negligent observer of the Genius and Humour of the several Sects and Professions in Religion. And npon the whole matter, I do in my conscience believe the Church of England to be the best constituted Church this day in the World; and that, as to the main, the Doctrine, and Government, and Worlhip of it are excellently framed to make men foberly Religious: Securing men on the one hand from the wild freaks of Enthusiasm; and on the other, from the gross follies of Superstition. And our Church hath this peculiar advantage above feveral Professions that we know

in

(34)

in the World, that it acknowledgeth a due and just Subordination to the Civil Authority, and hath alwaies been untain-

ted in its Layalty.

And now shall every trifling consideration be sufficient to move a man to relinquish such a Church ? There is no greater disparagement to a mans Understanding, no greater Argument of a light and ungenerous Mind, than rashly to change ones Religion. Religion is our greatest concernment of all other, and it is not every little Argument, no nor a great noise about Infallibility, nothing but very plain and convincing evidence, that should sway a man in this case. But they are utterly inexcufable, who make a change of fuch concernment upon the infinuations of one fide only, without ever hearing what can be faid for the Church they were Baptized and brought up in, before they leave it. They that can yield thus easily to the Impressions of every one that hath a defign and interest to make Proselytes, may at this rate of discretion change their Religion twice a-day, and instead of Morning and Evening Prayer they may have a Morning and L an Evening Religion.

There

(35)

Therefore, for Gods fake, and for our own Souls fake, and for the fake of our Reputation, let us consider and shew our selves men ; Let us not suffer our selves to be shaken and carried away with every wind; Let us not run our selves into danger when we may be safe. stick to the Foundation of Religion, the Articles of our Common belief, and Build upon them Gold, and Silver, and precious Stones, I mean, the vertues and actions of a good Life; and if we would do this we should not be apt to fet fuch a value upon Hay and Stubble. If we would fincerely endeavour, to live boly and vertuous lives, we should not need to cast about for a Religion which may furnish us with easie and indirect wayes to get to Heaven.

I WILL conclude all with the Apossels Exhortation. Wherefore my beloved Brethren, be ye sledfass and unmoveable, alwayes abounding in the work of the Lord.

OW the God of peace which brought again from the Dead our Lord Jesus Christ, the great Shepherd of the Sheep, by the Bloud of the Everlasting Covenant,

D 2 make

THE END.

